

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,705	06/30/2000	Chee H. Chew	40062.63US01	2254
23552 7590 10/31/2003 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER  KE, PENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	9
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

<b>1</b>					
· —	Application No.				
Advisory Action	09/608,705	CHEW ET AL.			
*	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Peng Ke	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The distribution have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ender the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
I. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
10. Other:		Moustine Kincaid KRISTINE KINCAID			
	S	UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			

**Advisory Action** 

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/608,705

Application No.

LK

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claim 1, 17, 19, and 23 "adjusting an interval of time associated with a current user session of the application in proportion of the frequence of interaction with the application" has not be been presented before.

As per claim 8, the scope of the claim has been broadened.